gTLD Revocation Readiness Report for .xn--mgbb9fbpob

This Report summarizes the status of the steps required to request the revocation of a generic top-level domain (gTLD) subject to the terms outlined in the Registry Agreement and the Registry Transition Process. This Report was produced by the ICANN Global Domains Division Operations Team and documents the relevant requirements for Revocation.

6 September 2019



REVOCATION READINESS SUMMARY | .XN--MGBB9FBPOB

Generic Top-Level Domain:	.xnmgbb9fbpob
Registry Operator:	GreenTech Consultancy Company W.L.L.
Registry Agreement:	
Type of Termination:	Involuntary Termination by ICANN org per provisions set forth in previously agreed-upon confidential terms between ICANN org and GreenTech Consultancy Company W.L.L.
Revocation Requested to Occur on:	As soon as feasible
Revocation Readiness:	Readiness Confirmed
Submission Date:	6 September 2019
Summary:	On 5 September 2019, ICANN org issued its notice of intent to terminate the .xnmgbb9fbpob Registry Agreement to GreenTech Consultancy Company W.L.L. (GreenTech), the Registry Operator for the .xnmgbb9fbpob gTLD.
	On 5 September 2019, referencing the previous considerations and determinations from the voluntary termination proceeding, and invoking certain provisions set forth in confidential terms between ICANN org and GreenTech, ICANN org made its Determination to not to transition the .xn-mgbb9fbpob gTLD to a successor and to terminate the .xn-mgbb9fbpob Registry Agreement. As such, the .xn-mgbb9fbpob gTLD is ready for revocation from the root zone.

Checklist for gTLD Revocation Readiness

If any answers are "no," a description of the impact on readiness for revocation (if any) must be provided. The impact should include why ICANN has determined to proceed with the revocation.

Notice of Termination	
1: Did the Registry Operator provide all properly given, required notices to ICANN pursuant to the terms of the Registry Agreement and/or the Registry Transition Process?	Yes
2: Did ICANN provide the notices for public comment, community feedback or other processes required by the Registry Agreement and/or the Registry Transition Process?	Yes

Determination on need to transition operation of the gTLD		
1: Did ICANN consult with the Registry Operator?	Yes	
2: Did ICANN publish a preliminary determination?	Yes	
<pre>URL for determination: https://www.icann.org/sites/default/files/tlds/xnmgbb9fbpob/xn mgbb9fbpob-legal-notice-determination-05sep19-en.pdf</pre>		
3: Did the community have an opportunity to provide input on the preliminary determination?	Yes	
URL for community input: https://www.icann.org/sites/default/files/tlds/xnmgbb9fbpob/xn mgbb9fbpob-legal-notice-determination-05sep19-en.pdf		

4:	4: If comments were received, did ICANN provide a summary of community input on the preliminary determination to the extent any input was received?	
	URL for community input summary: https://www.icann.org/sites/default/files/tlds/xnmgbb9fbpob/xn mgbb9fbpob-legal-notice-determination-05sep19-en.pdf	
5:	Was it determined that operations of the gTLD does not need to be transitioned to a successor Registry Operator?	Yes
	URL for determination:	
	https://www.icann.org/sites/default/files/tlds/xnmgbb9fbpob/xnmgbb9fbpob-legal-notice-determination-05sep19-en.pdf	
6:	Has ICANN assessed that revocation of the gTLD's delegation in the DNS root zone will not create security, stability, and/or resiliency issues?	Yes
7:	Was approval for the final determination appropriately authorized?	Yes
	URL of the reference authorization: https://www.icann.org/sites/default/files/tlds/xnmgbb9fbpob/xn mgbb9fbpob-legal-notice-determination-05sep19-en.pdf	